

## TROPICAL GEOMETRY, LECTURE 9

JAN DRAISMA

- Recall  $\text{trop}(V(I)) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  for  $I \subseteq K[T^n]$ .
- Have seen:  $\text{trop}(V(I))$  it is a finite intersection of tropical hypersurfaces (existence of finite tropical bases).
- Also:  $\text{trop}(V(I)) \subseteq v(V(I))$ .
- Example.  $I = \langle x + y + 1, x + 2y \rangle$  over  $\mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}$  gives  $\text{trop}(V(I)) = \{(0, 0)\} \neq \text{trop}(V(x + y + 1), x + 2y)$ . A finite intersection of tropical hypersurfaces is called a *tropical pre-variety*.
- Theorem (*fundamental theorem of tropical geometry*): Let  $K$  be algebraically closed with a nontrivial valuation,  $I \subseteq K[T^n]$  and  $X = V(I) \subseteq K^n$ . Then the following are equal:

- (1)  $\text{trop}(V(I))$
- (2)  $\{w \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \text{in}_w I \neq \langle 1 \rangle\}$ , and
- (3) the topological closure of  $v(V(I))$ .

[The first two are clearly equal: if  $\text{in}_w I$  is not  $\langle 1 \rangle$ , then  $\text{in}_w f$  is not a monomial for any  $f \in I$ , and hence  $w \in \text{trop}(V(f))$  for each  $f \in I$ , i.e.,  $w \in \text{trop}(V(I))$ . For the converse we note that if  $\text{in}_w I$  contains a monomial, then there is an  $f \in I$  with  $\text{in}_w f$  monomial.

The third is included in the earlier two by the remarks above. For the opposite inclusion we will have to work.]

- Proposition: Let  $K$  be a field with a (possibly trivial) valuation, and let  $L$  be a valued field extension. Let  $I \subseteq K[T^n]$  and consider  $I' := L \otimes_K I \subseteq L[T^n]$ . Then  $\text{trop}(V(I)) = \text{trop}(V(I'))$ .

[ $\supseteq$  is clear since the set on the left is an intersection over a larger domain. For the converse, suppose that  $\text{in}_w I'$  contains a monomial. This means that there are  $f_1, \dots, f_s \in I$  and coefficients  $c_1, \dots, c_s \in L$  such that

$$\text{in}_w \sum_i c_i f_i = x^\alpha$$

This can be read as saying that a system of  $K$ -linear equations for the  $c_i$  has an approximate solution over  $L$ . The following lemma, applied to

- (1) the coefficient matrix  $f_1, \dots, f_s$ ,  $r$  equal to the number of monomials appearing in at least one  $f_i$ ;
- (2)  $u_\alpha := -w \cdot \alpha$  for such a monomial  $x^\alpha$ ; and
- (3)  $b = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$  where the 1 is on the position corresponding to the monomial  $x^0$ ;

shows that it then also has an approximate solution over  $K$ , i.e.,  $\text{in}_w I \ni x^\alpha$ .]

- Lemma: let  $A \in K^{r \times s}$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^r$ , and  $b \in K^r$ . Suppose that there exists a row vector  $z \in L^s$  such that  $v((Az - b)_i) > u_i$  for all  $i$ . Then a  $z$  with this property exists in  $K^s$ .

[We have already see a version of this argument, but let's give a slightly different version. As the statement only concerns the range of  $A$ , we may assume that  $A : K^s \rightarrow K^r$  is injective. In particular, we have  $r \geq s$ , and we prove the lemma by induction on  $r$ . For  $r = s$  the matrix  $A$  is invertible, so even an exact solution to  $Az = b$  exists over  $K$ . Now suppose that the statement is true for  $r - 1$ , which is at least  $s$ . Denote the rows of  $A$  by  $a_1, \dots, a_r \in (K^s)^*$ . As  $r > s$ , there exists a linear relation  $\sum_i \lambda_i a_i = 0$  where not all  $\lambda_i$  are 0. The existence of  $z$  in the lemma yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad v\left(\sum_i \lambda_i b_i\right) &= v\left(\sum_i \lambda_i (b_i - a_i z) + \sum_i \lambda_i a_i z\right) \\
 &= v\left(\sum_i \lambda_i (b_i - a_i z) + 0\right) \\
 &> \min_i (v(\lambda_i) + u_i).
 \end{aligned}$$

After rearranging the rows of  $A$  we may assume that the latter minimum is attained in  $i = r$ , and by multiplying all  $\lambda_i$  with  $1/\lambda_r$  we may assume that  $\lambda_r = 1$ . By the induction hypothesis, there exists a  $z \in K^s$  such that  $v(a_i z - b_i) > u_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, r - 1$ . For this same  $z$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 v(a_r z - b_r) &= v\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \lambda_i a_i z - b_r\right) \\
 &= v\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \lambda_i (a_i z - b_i) - \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i b_i\right) \\
 &\geq \min\left\{v\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \lambda_i (a_i z - b_i)\right), v\left(\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i b_i\right)\right\} \\
 &> \min_{i=1, \dots, r} (v(\lambda_i) + u_i),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from (1) and the assumption on  $z$ . By assumption, the last minimum is attained in  $i = r$ , and equal to  $v(1) + u_r = u_r$ .]

- The proposition allows us to work over suitable valued field extensions of  $K$ , such as  $K((\mathbb{R}))$ . In particular, in the proof of the fundamental theorem, we may use that  $v : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is surjective and has a section, in addition to  $K$  being algebraically closed. Thus our work from Chapter 2 becomes useful.
- In fact,  $\text{trop}(V(I))$  is a finite union of  $v(K^*)$ -rational polyhedra, and since  $v(K^*)$  is divisible and non-zero (as  $K$  is algebraically closed and  $v$  non-trivial), the set of  $v(K^*)$ -rational points in  $\text{trop}(V(I))$  is dense. So for the last inclusion in the theorem it suffices to prove that if  $w \in \text{Trop}(V(I)) \cap (v(K^*))^n$ , then there is an  $x \in V(I)$  with  $v(x) = w$ .
- The proof of the fundamental theorem will go via projections to the hyper-surface case.
- Proposition: Fix a subvariety  $X \subseteq T^n$  and  $m \geq \dim(X)$ . Then there exists a homomorphism  $\psi : T^n \rightarrow T^m$  such that  $\psi(X)$  is Zariski-closed in  $T^m$  and has dimension equal to  $\dim(X)$ . Moreover,  $\psi$  can be chosen such that

$\ker \text{trop}(\psi)$  intersects a given finite collection of  $m$ -dimensional subspaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  trivially.

[It suffices to prove the case where  $m = n - 1$ . Fix  $l \gg 0$ . Consider first the automorphism  $\phi : T^n \rightarrow T^n$  defined dually by  $\phi^* x_i = x_i x_n^{l^i}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$  and  $\phi^* x_n = x_n$ .

This maps a fixed monomial  $x^\alpha$  to  $x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}} x_n^{\alpha_n + \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i l^i}$ . Thus, if we have a finite set  $S$  of monomials  $x^\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$  and we take  $l$  larger than any  $\alpha_i$  for any  $x^\alpha \in S$ , then the exponents of  $x_n$  in  $\phi m, \phi m'$  are distinct for  $m, m' \in S$  distinct.

Apply this reasoning to the monomials appearing in a finite generating set in  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  of the ideal  $I = I_{T^n}(X)$ , which is not the zero ideal since  $\dim X < n$ . This gives that, for  $l \gg 0$ ,  $\phi^*(I)$  is generated by a nonempty set of polynomials in  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  whose coefficients, when regarded as polynomials in  $x_n$ , are a constant times a monomial in  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$ . Replace  $I$  by this  $\phi^*(I)$ .

We claim that we may now take for  $\psi : T^n \rightarrow T^{n-1}$  the projection on the first  $n - 1$  coordinates. The ideal of  $Y := \overline{\phi(X)}$  is then  $I \cap K[T^{n-1}]$ , and  $K[X]$  is integral over  $K[Y]$  since any one of the generators of  $I$  gives a monic equation for  $x_n$  over  $K[Y]$  (the coefficient of the highest power of  $x_n$  is a monomial and hence invertible in  $K[T^n]$ ). This shows that the map  $X \rightarrow Y$  is closed and  $\dim Y = \dim X$ .

Composing this projection with the  $\phi$  above yields the map  $\psi$  whose tropicalisation is  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1 + l^1 x_n, \dots, x_{n-1} + l^{n-1} x_n)$  with kernel spanned by  $(l^1, l^2, \dots, l^{n-1}, -1)$ . For this kernel to intersect a given hyperplane with equation  $\sum_i a_i x_i = 0$  trivially, we need to choose  $l$  not a root of the polynomial equation  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i l^i = a_n$ . Again, this can be arranged by taking  $l$  sufficiently large.]

- By virtue of Chapter 2, the set  $\{w \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \text{in}_w I \neq \langle 1 \rangle\}$  is the support of a subcomplex of the Gröbner complex of  $I_{\text{proj}}$  via the identification  $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ ,  $w \mapsto (0, w)$ .
- Proposition: the cells  $\overline{C_{I_{\text{proj}}}[w]}$  contained in this subcomplex have dimension at most the Krull dimension of  $V(I)$ .

[Let  $P$  be that cell, with  $w$  in its relative interior. Its affine span is  $w + L$  with  $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  a vector space defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . After a torus automorphism, we may assume that  $L = \langle e_1, \dots, e_k \rangle$ . For  $u \in L \cap \mathbb{Z}^k$  we have  $\text{in}_u \text{in}_w I = \text{in}_{w+\epsilon u} I = \text{in}_w I$  for  $\epsilon > 0$  sufficiently small.

Taking  $u = e_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , this means that  $\text{in}_w I$  is homogeneous with respect to the grading in which variable  $x_i$  has degree 1 and all other variables have degree 0. In other words, it is homogeneous w.r.t. the corresponding  $\mathbb{Z}^k$ -grading.

Hence  $\text{in}_w I$  is generated by polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_s$  that each are of the form some  $m_j g_j$  where  $m_j$  is a monomial and  $g_j$  does not involve  $x_1, \dots, x_k$ . Since  $m_j$  are units, these may be taken 1. This means that, for each point in  $V(\text{in}_w I)$ , also all other points with the same last  $n - k$  coordinates are in this variety. Hence, since  $\text{in}_w I \neq \langle 1 \rangle$  implies that  $V(\text{in}_w I)$  is nonempty, the Krull dimension of  $k[T^n]/\text{in}_w I$  is at least  $k$ , and the same holds for  $k[\mathbb{A}^n]/\text{in}_w I_{\text{aff}}$ . As we have seen, this is the image of  $k[\mathbb{P}^n]/\text{in}_{(0,w)} I_{\text{proj}}$  under the map sending  $x_0$  to 1. The Krull dimension of the latter ring equals

that of  $K[\mathbb{P}^n]/I_{\text{proj}}$ , which is the dimension of  $V(I)$  plus 1. The map  $x_0 \mapsto 1$  corresponds to intersecting with a hyperplane, and lowers the Krull dimension by at least 1. (More precisely: it lowers the dimension of the components of  $V(\text{in}_{(0,w)} I_{\text{proj}})$  that intersect  $\mathbb{A}_k^n$  in a nonempty set by 1, and removes the components that do not intersect  $\mathbb{A}_k^n$ .) Hence we find that, indeed, the Krull dimension of  $V(I)$  is at least  $k$ .]

- To prove the fundamental theorem, we still need to prove that  $\text{in}_w I \neq \langle 1 \rangle$  implies the existence of a point  $x \in V(I)$  with  $v(x) = w$ . There is an easy reduction to the case where  $I$  is prime.
- So, now assume that  $I$  is prime, and let  $d = \dim X$ . We do induction on  $n - d$ . For  $n - d = 1$  the fundamental theorem is Kapranov's theorem. Suppose that  $n - d > 1$  and that the theorem holds for smaller codimension.
- The set  $\text{Trop}(V(I))$  is the support of a polyhedral complex of dimension  $\leq d$ . For each cell  $P$ , let  $P_L$  be the linear span of  $P - w$ , a vector space of dimension  $\leq d + 1 < n$ . By the work earlier this morning, there is a torus homomorphism  $\psi : T^n \rightarrow T^{n-1}$  that has the following properties:
  - (1)  $Y := \psi(X)$  is closed and of dimension  $d$ .
  - (2)  $\ker \text{trop}(\psi)$  intersects each space  $P_L$  trivially.
- Now  $\text{trop}(\psi)$  maps  $\text{trop}(X)$  into  $\text{trop}(Y)$ , so, by the induction hypothesis applied to  $Y$ , there is a point  $y \in Y$  with  $v(y) = \text{trop}(\psi)(w)$ .
- There is a point  $x \in X$  with  $\psi(x) = y$ . This means that  $\text{trop}(\psi)v(x) = v(y) = \text{trop}(\psi)(w)$ . This means that  $w - v(x) \in \ker \text{trop}(\psi)$ , and since this lies in  $L_P$  where  $P$  is such that  $v(x) \in P$ , our second property of  $\psi$  implies that  $v(x) = w$ .