# POLARISATION IN INVARIANT THEORY

#### JAN DRAISMA

#### INVARIANT THEORY

Set-up:

- $\bullet$  V a finite-dimensional vector space over a field K
- $\bullet$  G a group acting by linear maps on V
- K[V] the ring of polynomials on V
- G acts on K[V] by  $gf := f \circ g^{-1}$
- $K[V]^G$  the algebra of (polynomial) G-invariants on V

**Example 0.1.**  $V := K^n$ ,  $G := \{g \in GL_n \mid g^Tg = I\}$ ; then  $K[V]^G = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]^G = K[q]$ , where  $q = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$ .

Invariants help to distinguish G-orbits in V:

- If  $x, y \in V$  and  $f \in K[V]^G$  satisfies  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ , then Gx and Gy are distinct orbits.
- For finite groups, distinct orbits are seperated by invariant polynomials, but this is not true for general groups.

## TWO PROBLEMS IN INVARIANT THEORY

**Generators.** Given G and its action on V, determine generators of  $K[V]^G$ .

- **Remark 0.2.**  $K[V]^G$  may not be a finitely generated algebra; this is the negative answer to Hilbert's 14th problem (Nagata 1959).
  - If the image of G in GL(V) is "reductive", then  $K[V]^G$  is finitely generated (Hilbert, 1890), and one can give good upper bounds on the degrees of generators (Derksen, 2001).

**Separating invariants.** Given G and its action on V, determine a finite subset of  $K[V]^G$  with the same "separating power" as the full algebra  $K[V]^G$ . Such a set is called a *finite separating system of invariants*.

Lemma 0.3. A finite separating system of invariants always exists.

*Proof.* Consider the equivalence relation on V given by

$$\{(v, w) \mid f(v) = f(w) \text{ for all } f \in K[V]^G\}.$$

This is the zero set of the ideal I generated by the polynomials f(v) - f(w), where f runs over all elements of  $K[V]^G$ . By the fact that  $K[V \times V]$  is Noetherian (i.e., every ascending chain of ideals stabilises), I is already generated by a finite number of the f(v) - f(w). The corresponding f form a finite separating system.

Date: 23-11-2005, Seminar combinatorial theory, TU/e.

Note that a finite set of invariants has the same separating power as the algebra it generates. So we may also interpret the lemma as: there always exists a finitely generated algebra of invariants that has the same separating power as the full algebra  $K[V]^G$ .

## POLARISATION

Now suppose that  $V = M^p$ , where

- ullet M is a finite-dimensional vector space on which G acts linearly, and
- G acts diagonally on  $M^p$ .

In general one cannot construct all invariants on  $M^p$  from invariants on M.

**Example 0.4.** Suppose that  $G = \operatorname{SL}_n$  acts on  $M = K^n$  by multiplication. Then  $K[M^p]^G = K$  for  $p < \dim M$ , while  $K[M^{\dim M}] = K[\det]$ .

However, one can always construct *some* invariants on  $M^p$  from knowledge of invariants on  $M^q$ , as follows. Suppose that  $f \in K[M^q]^G$  and let  $\pi$  be any linear map  $K^p \to K^q$ . Then  $\pi$  induces a linear map  $\pi: M^p \to M^q$  by

$$\pi(m_1, \dots, m_p) = (\sum_{j=1}^p \pi_{1,j} m_j, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^p \pi_{q,j} m_j).$$

Clearly, this map is G-equivariant:  $\pi(gv) = g\pi(v)$  for  $v \in M^p$ . Hence  $f \circ \pi$  is an invariant on  $M^p$ , which we will call a polarisation of f to  $M^p$ .

**Definition 0.5.** Let A be a subalgebra of  $K[M^q]$ . The subalgebra of  $K[M^p]$  generated by all polarisations of elements of A to  $M^p$  (i.e., by all functions of the form  $f \circ \pi$  where  $f \in A$  and  $\pi : K^p \to K^q$ ) is called the *polarisation* of A to  $M^p$ .

**Example 0.6.** Recall Example 0.1. Then the polynomial  $\beta$  on  $(K^n)^2$  given by

$$\beta(x,y) := q(x+y) - q(x) - q(y)$$

is a polarisation of q to 2 copies of  $K^n$ : the bilinear form associated to q.

#### APPLICATIONS OF POLARISATION

Generating invariants. The following theorem is due to Weyl.

**Theorem 0.7** (Weyl, 1939). Suppose that char K = 0. Then  $K[M^p]^G$  is the polarisation of  $K[M^{\dim M}]^G$  to  $M^p$  for all  $p \ge \dim M$ .

In other words, one needs "only" know the invariants of G on dim M copies of M to construct the invariants of G on more copies. Compare this to example 0.4.

The theorem is not true in positive characteristic, not even if G is finite and its order is not a multiple of char K.

**Example 0.8.** (Kemper, Wehlau). Suppose that K has characteristic 3 and contains a primitive 4-th root  $\omega$  of unity. Let G be the subgroup of  $\operatorname{GL}_1$  generated by  $\omega$ , acting on M=K by multiplication. Then  $K[M]^G=K[x]^G=K[x^4]$ . Now  $x^2y^2$  is an invariant on  $M^2$ , but in  $(ax+by)^4$  the monomial  $x^2y^2$  does not occur; this easily implies that  $x^2y^2$  does not lie in the polarisation of  $K[x^4]$  to 2 copies.

**Remark 0.9.** Friedrich Knop has proved a generalisation of Weyl's theorem to positive characteristic, which ensures that the invariants on  $M^p$  up to a certain degree can be obtained from invariants on  $M^{\dim M}$  by polarisation. Combining this with a bound on degrees of invariants of *finite* groups, one finds a generalisation of Weyl's theorem to finite G and char K not dividing |G|.

**Separating invariants.** In contrast, we have

**Theorem 0.10** (Kemper, Wehlau, Draisma, 2005). If A is a separating subalgebra of  $K[M^{\dim M}]^G$ , then the polarisation of A to  $M^p$  with  $p \ge \dim M$  is separating.

This theorem is a consequence of the following lemma (by taking "having the same value under all invariants" as equivalence relations).

**Lemma 0.11.** Suppose  $p, q \ge \dim M$  and let  $\sim$  and  $\equiv$  be equivalence relations on  $M^p$  and  $M^q$ , respectively, such that

$$v \sim w \Rightarrow \pi v \equiv \pi w, \quad v, w \in M^p, \pi : K^p \to K^q$$

and

$$v \equiv w \Rightarrow \pi v \sim \pi w, \quad v, w \in M^q, \pi : K^q \to K^p.$$

Now suppose that  $v, w \in M^p$  are such that  $\pi v \equiv \pi w$  for all  $\pi : K^p \to K^q$ . Then  $v \sim w$ .

*Proof.* View  $M^p$  and  $M^q$  as  $K^p \otimes M$  and  $K^q \otimes M$ . Choose linearly independent subspaces A, B, C of  $K^p$  with

- (1)  $v \in (A + B) \otimes M$  (write  $v = v_A + v_B$  accordingly),
- (2)  $w \in (B+C) \otimes M$  (write  $w = w_B + w_C$  accordingly) and
- (3) A + B and B + C have dimension at most q.

Now let  $\pi: K^p \to K^q$  and  $\sigma: K^q \to K^p$  be such that  $\sigma\pi$  is the identity on A+B and zero on C. Then we find

$$v = \sigma \pi v \sim \sigma \pi w = w_B.$$

Similarly, using a second pair  $(\sigma, \pi)$ , we find  $w \sim v_B$ . But now let  $\pi : K^p \to K^q$  and  $\sigma : K^q \to K^p$  be a third pair such that  $\sigma \pi$  is the identity on B and zero on A + C. Then we find

$$v_B = \sigma \pi v \sim \sigma \pi w = w_B$$

and we are done.

**The null-cone.** The *null-cone*  $N(M^p)$  in  $M^p$  is the set of elements of V that cannot be separated from 0 by invariants.

**Example 0.12.** Suppose that  $SL_n \times SL_n$  acts by left-and-right multiplication on  $M = M_n$ .

- The null-cone N(M) consists of the singular matrices: these are the ones that cannot be distinguished from 0 by the det.
- The null-cone  $N(M^p)$  for p>1 has precisely n irreducible components, namely:

$$C_k := \{(A_1, \dots, A_p) \in M^p \mid \exists k \text{-dimensional } U : \dim \sum_i A_i U < k\}.$$

**Theorem 0.13** (Bürgin, Draisma, 2005). The function  $p \mapsto$  "the number of irreducible components of  $N(M^p)$ " is ascending and stabilises at some  $p \leq \dim M$ .

**Remark 0.14.** For reductive groups in characteristic zero, this was first observed by Kraft and Wallach (2004).

*Proof.* The stabilising part is the hardest. Set  $q := \dim M$ . Consider the map

$$\Psi: \operatorname{Hom}(K^q,K^p) \times M^q \to M^p, \ (\pi,v) := \pi v.$$

Verify:

- (1)  $\Psi$  maps  $\operatorname{Hom}(K^q, K^p) \times N(M^q)$  into  $N(M^p)$ ,
- (2)  $\Psi$  maps  $\operatorname{Hom}(K^q, K^p) \times N(M^q)$  onto  $N(M^p)$  (here we need  $q = \dim M$ ), and
- (3) the number of irreducible components of  $\operatorname{Hom}(K^q,K^p)\times N(M^q)$  equals the number of irreducible components of  $N(M^q)$ .