Frobenius Flocks



Jan Draisma, Universität Bern
j.w.w. Rudi Pendavingh and Guus Bollen, Eindhoven

K a field, σ an automorphism of K, E a finite set
$\mathbb{Z}^E$ acts on K^E via $\alpha v := (\sigma^{\alpha_i} v_i)_{i \in E}$

K a field, σ an automorphism of K, E a finite set
$\mathbb{Z}^E$ acts on K^E via $\alpha v := (\sigma^{\alpha_i} v_i)_{i \in E}$

Definition
$V : \mathbb{Z}^E \to \{d\text{-dimensional subspaces of } K^E\}, \alpha \mapsto V_\alpha$
is a *vector space flock* on E over (K, σ) of rank d if
(VF1) $V_\alpha / i = V_{\alpha + e_i} \setminus i$ and
(VF2) $V_{\alpha + \mathbf{1}} = \mathbf{1} V_\alpha$.

$W \setminus i :=$ image of W in K^{E-i}
$W / i :=$ image of $e_i^\perp \cap W$ in K^{E-i}
$\mathbf{1} =$ the all-one vector

Example

(VF1) $V_\alpha / i = V_{\alpha+e_i} \setminus i$

(VF2) $V_{\alpha+\mathbf{1}} = \mathbf{1} V_\alpha$

$E = \{1, 2\}$, $V_0 = \langle (1, a) \rangle$, $a \neq 0$



Example

(VF1) $V_\alpha/i = V_{\alpha+e_i}\setminus i$ (VF2) $V_{\alpha+\mathbf{1}} = \mathbf{1}V_\alpha$

$E = \{1,2\}$, $V_0 = \langle(1,a)\rangle$, $a \neq 0$

$V_0/1 = \{0\} = V_{e_1}\setminus 1$, so $V_{e_1} = \langle(1,0)\rangle$



Example

(VF1) $V_\alpha/i = V_{\alpha+e_i}\setminus i$ (VF2) $V_{\alpha+\mathbf{1}} = \mathbf{1}V_\alpha$

$E = \{1,2\}$, $V_0 = \langle(1,a)\rangle$, $a \neq 0$

$V_0/1 = \{0\} = V_{e_1}\setminus 1$, so $V_{e_1} = \langle(1,0)\rangle = V_{ke_1}$ for $k \geq 1$



Example

(VF1) $V_\alpha/i = V_{\alpha+e_i}\setminus i$ (VF2) $V_{\alpha+\mathbf{1}} = \mathbf{1}V_\alpha$

$E = \{1,2\}$, $V_0 = \langle(1,a)\rangle$, $a \neq 0$

$V_0/1 = \{0\} = V_{e_1}\setminus 1$, so $V_{e_1} = \langle(1,0)\rangle = V_{ke_1}$ for $k \geq 1$

similarly $V_{ke_2} = \langle(0,1)\rangle$ for $k \geq 1$



Example

(VF1) $V_\alpha/i = V_{\alpha+e_i}\setminus i$ (VF2) $V_{\alpha+\mathbf{1}} = \mathbf{1}V_\alpha$

$E = \{1,2\}$, $V_0 = \langle(1,a)\rangle$, $a \neq 0$

$V_0/1 = \{0\} = V_{e_1}\setminus 1$, so $V_{e_1} = \langle(1,0)\rangle \quad = V_{ke_1}$ for $k \geq 1$

similarly $V_{ke_2} = \langle(0,1)\rangle$ for $k \geq 1$

VF2 yields:



Matroids from flocks

For $W \subseteq K^E$ linear subspace, $M(W)$ matroid on E defined by W.

For $W \subseteq K^E$ linear subspace, $M(W)$ matroid on E defined by W.

Theorem
For a flock V on E, call $I \subseteq E$ independent if $\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^E$: I independent in $M(V_\alpha)$. This defines a matroid $M(V)$ on E.

Matroids from flocks

For $W \subseteq K^E$ linear subspace, $M(W)$ matroid on E defined by W.

Theorem
For a flock V on E, call $I \subseteq E$ independent if $\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^E$: I independent in $M(V_\alpha)$. This defines a matroid $M(V)$ on E.

Question
Which matroids are flock-representable?

For $W \subseteq K^E$ linear subspace, $M(W)$ matroid on E defined by W.

Theorem
For a flock V on E, call $I \subseteq E$ independent if $\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^E$: I independent in $M(V_\alpha)$. This defines a matroid $M(V)$ on E.

Question
Which matroids are flock-representable?

Theorem
Certainly all algebraic matroids over algebraically closed K of characteristic $p > 0$ with $\sigma(a) = a^{1/p}$—so-called *Frobenius flocks*.

For $W \subseteq K^E$ linear subspace, $M(W)$ matroid on E defined by W.

Theorem
For a flock V on E, call $I \subseteq E$ independent if $\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^E$: I independent in $M(V_\alpha)$. This defines a matroid $M(V)$ on E.

Question
Which matroids are flock-representable?

Theorem
Certainly all algebraic matroids over algebraically closed K of characteristic $p > 0$ with $\sigma(a) = a^{1/p}$—so-called *Frobenius flocks*.

But, (unfortunately?) many more.

Duality

If V is a flock over (K, σ), then $V^* : \alpha \mapsto V^{\perp}_{-\alpha}$ is a flock over (K, σ^{-1}), and it satisfies $M(V^*) = M(V)^*$.

Duality

If V is a flock over (K, σ), then $V^* : \alpha \mapsto V^{\perp}_{-\alpha}$ is a flock over (K, σ^{-1}), and it satisfies $M(V^*) = M(V)^*$.

For a flock V on E, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^E$, $i \in E$,

- i is a coloop in $M(V_{\alpha+ke_i})$ for $k \gg 0$ unless it is a loop in $M(V)$;
- i is a loop in $M(V_{\alpha+ke_i})$ for $k \ll 0$ unless it is a coloop in $M(V)$.

Duality

If V is a flock over (K, σ), then $V^* : \alpha \mapsto V^{\perp}_{-\alpha}$ is a flock over (K, σ^{-1}), and it satisfies $M(V^*) = M(V)^*$.

For a flock V on E, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^E$, $i \in E$,

- i is a coloop in $M(V_{\alpha+ke_i})$ for $k \gg 0$ unless it is a loop in $M(V)$;
- i is a loop in $M(V_{\alpha+ke_i})$ for $k \ll 0$ unless it is a coloop in $M(V)$.

Minors

$V/i : \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{E-i} \to V_\beta/i$ for $\beta|_{E-i} = \alpha$ and $\beta_i \gg 0$; and
$V\backslash i : \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{E-i} \to V_\beta\backslash i$ for $\beta|_{E-i} = \alpha$ and $\beta_i \ll 0$
are flocks on $E - i$, satisfying
$M(V/i) = M(V)/i$, $M(V\backslash i) = M(V)\backslash i$, and $V^*/i = (V\backslash i)^*$.

Flocks from non-Archimedean fields

$\sigma : K \to K$ trivial, $W \subseteq K((t))^E$ a linear subspace
for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^E$ set $t^\alpha W := \{(t^{\alpha_i} w_i)_i \mid w \in W\} \subseteq K((t))^E$

$\sigma : K \to K$ trivial, $W \subseteq K((t))^E$ a linear subspace
for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^E$ set $t^\alpha W := \{(t^{\alpha_i} w_i)_i \mid w \in W\} \subseteq K((t))^E$

Construction
$V : \alpha \mapsto V_\alpha :=$ image in K^E of $t^{-\alpha} W \cap K[[t]]^E$
is a vector space flock of rank $\dim W$ over $(K, 1_K)$.

$M(V_\alpha)$ has no loops if and only if $\alpha \in \mathrm{Trop}(W)$.

This suggests a tropical connection.

Algebraic matroids

K algebraically closed, $X \subseteq K^E$ irreducible variety $\rightsquigarrow$ matroid $M(X)$ on E: I independent iff $X \to K^I$ dominant.

Algebraic matroids

K algebraically closed, $X \subseteq K^E$ irreducible variety $\rightsquigarrow$ matroid $M(X)$ on E: I independent iff $X \to K^I$ dominant.

Ingleton's observation

If char$K = 0$, then $M(X) = M(T_v X)$ for $v \in X$ general, so

{matroids algebraically representable over K}
= {matroids linearly representable over K}.



Algebraic matroids

K algebraically closed, $X \subseteq K^E$ irreducible variety $\rightsquigarrow$ matroid $M(X)$ on E: I independent iff $X \to K^I$ dominant.

Ingleton's observation

If char$K = 0$, then $M(X) = M(T_v X)$ for $v \in X$ general, so

{matroids algebraically representable over K}
= {matroids linearly representable over K}.



Example

This is *not* true in char, say, 2:

$X = \{(x, y, z, xy, xz, yz, xzy) \mid x, y, z \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_2}^3\}$
represents the *non-Fano* matroid, which is not linearly representable over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_2}$.



Flocks from algebraic matroids

K algebraically closed of char p, $\sigma : K \to K, a \mapsto a^{1/p}$
$\mathbb{Z}^E$ acts on K^E by homeomorphisms in the Zariski topology.

K algebraically closed of char p, $\sigma : K \to K, a \mapsto a^{1/p}$
$\mathbb{Z}^E$ acts on K^E by homeomorphisms in the Zariski topology.

Theorem
For $X \subseteq K^E$ irreducible and $v \in X$ general, $V : \alpha \mapsto T_{\alpha v}\alpha X$ is a Frobenius flock over (K, σ) satisfying $M(V) = M(X)$.

K algebraically closed of char p, $\sigma : K \to K, a \mapsto a^{1/p}$
$\mathbb{Z}^E$ acts on K^E by homeomorphisms in the Zariski topology.

Theorem
For $X \subseteq K^E$ irreducible and $v \in X$ general, $V : \alpha \mapsto T_{\alpha v}\alpha X$ is a Frobenius flock over (K, σ) satisfying $M(V) = M(X)$.

Conditions (*)
v should satisfy the the following conditions *for all* $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^E$:
- αX is smooth at αv, and
- $M(T_{\alpha v}\alpha X) = M(T_{\xi_\alpha}\alpha X)$, where ξ is the generic point of αX.

To reduce from *very general* to just *general* we establish finiteness properties of flocks.

Example

$$X = \{(x, y, x + y, x + y^{(p^g)}) \mid (x, y) \in K^2\} \subseteq K^4, g > 1, M(X) = U_{2,4}$$

Example

$$X = \{(x, y, x + y, x + y^{(p^g)}) \mid (x, y) \in K^2\} \subseteq K^4, g > 1, M(X) = U_{2,4}$$

$$T_0X = \text{row space of} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ so } 1, 4 \text{ parallel in } M(T_0X).$$

Example

$X = \{(x, y, x + y, x + y^{(p^g)}) \mid (x, y) \in K^2\} \subseteq K^4, g > 1, M(X) = U_{2,4}$

$T_0X =$ row space of $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, so $1, 4$ parallel in $M(T_0X)$.

$(-e_2 - e_3)X = \{(x, y, x^p + y, x + y^{(p^{g-1})}) \mid (x, y) \in K^2\}$

$T_0(-e_2 - e_3)X =$ row space of $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$; also $2, 3$ parallel.

Example

$X = \{(x, y, x + y, x + y^{(p^g)}) \mid (x, y) \in K^2\} \subseteq K^4, g > 1, M(X) = U_{2,4}$

$T_0X =$ row space of $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, so $1, 4$ parallel in $M(T_0X)$.

$(-e_2 - e_3)X = \{(x, y, x^p + y, x + y^{(p^{g-1})}) \mid (x, y) \in K^2\}$

$T_0(-e_2 - e_3)X =$ row space of $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$; also $2, 3$ parallel.

$(-ge_2 - ge_3)X = \{(x, y, x^{(p^g)} + y, x + y) \mid (x, y) \in K^2\}$

$T_0(-ge_2 - ge_3)X =$ row space of $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$; $1, 4$ indep.

Example, continued

Cells where $M(T_0(\alpha X)) = M(T_{\xi_\alpha}\alpha X)$ is constant:



These cells are *alcoved polytopes*: max-plus and min-plus closed.

Definition (Dress-Wenzel)
A *matroid valuation* is a map $\nu : \{d\text{-sets in } E\} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $\nu(B) \neq \infty$ for some B and $\forall B, B',\ i \in B \setminus B'\ \exists j \in B' \setminus B$: $\nu(B) + \nu(B') \geq \nu(B - i + j) + \nu(B' + i - j)$.

(ν then lies in the *Dressian*)

Definition (Dress-Wenzel)
A *matroid valuation* is a map $\nu : \{d\text{-sets in } E\} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $\nu(B) \neq \infty$ for some B and $\forall B, B',\ i \in B \setminus B'\ \exists j \in B' \setminus B$: $\nu(B) + \nu(B') \geq \nu(B - i + j) + \nu(B' + i - j)$.

(ν then lies in the *Dressian*)

Observations
$\nu \rightsquigarrow$ two matroids: $M^\nu := \{B \mid \nu(B) < \infty\}$ and $\{B \mid \nu(B)$ minimal$\}$; and $\nu'(B) := \nu(B) - \alpha \cdot e_B$ is a valuation for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^E$.

Definition (Dress-Wenzel)
A *matroid valuation* is a map $\nu : \{d\text{-sets in } E\} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $\nu(B) \neq \infty$ for some B and $\forall B, B',\ i \in B \setminus B'\ \exists j \in B' \setminus B$: $\nu(B) + \nu(B') \geq \nu(B - i + j) + \nu(B' + i - j)$.

(ν then lies in the *Dressian*)

Observations
$\nu \rightsquigarrow$ two matroids: $M^\nu := \{B \mid \nu(B) < \infty\}$ and $\{B \mid \nu(B)$ minimal$\}$; and $\nu'(B) := \nu(B) - \alpha \cdot e_B$ is a valuation for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^E$.

Theorem
Given a $\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$-valued ν, set $M^\nu_\alpha := \{B \mid \nu(B) - \alpha \cdot e_B$ minimal$\}$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^E$. This satisfies matroid analogues of VF1,VF2. Conversely, each such *matroid flock* arises in this manner.

Overview

$\{\text{algebraic varieties } X \subseteq K^E\}$

Overview

$$(X, v) \mapsto (\alpha \mapsto T_{\alpha v} \alpha X)$$

$$\{\text{algebraic varieties } X \subseteq K^E\} \longrightarrow \{\text{Frobenius flocks } V : \alpha \mapsto V_\alpha\}$$

Overview

$$(X, v) \mapsto (\alpha \mapsto T_{\alpha v}\alpha X)$$

$$\{\text{algebraic varieties } X \subseteq K^E\} \longrightarrow \{\text{Frobenius flocks } V : \alpha \mapsto V_\alpha\}$$

$$V \mapsto (\alpha \mapsto M(V_\alpha)) \Big\downarrow$$

$$\{\text{Matroid flocks } M : \alpha \mapsto M_\alpha\}$$

Overview

$$(X, v) \mapsto (\alpha \mapsto T_{\alpha v}\alpha X)$$

$$\{\text{algebraic varieties } X \subseteq K^E\} \longrightarrow \{\text{Frobenius flocks } V : \alpha \mapsto V_\alpha\}$$

$$V \mapsto (\alpha \mapsto M(V_\alpha))$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\{\text{Matroid flocks } M : \alpha \mapsto M_\alpha\}$$

Murota–*thanks to Yu!* $\downarrow\uparrow$

$$\{\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}\text{-valued matroid valuations}\}$$

Overview

$$(X, v) \mapsto (\alpha \mapsto T_{\alpha v}\alpha X)$$

$$\{\text{algebraic varieties } X \subseteq K^E\} \longrightarrow \{\text{Frobenius flocks } V : \alpha \mapsto V_\alpha\}$$

$$X \mapsto M(X) \qquad V \mapsto (\alpha \mapsto M(V_\alpha))$$

$$\{\text{Matroids on } E\} \qquad \{\text{Matroid flocks } M : \alpha \mapsto M_\alpha\}$$

Murota–*thanks to Yu!*

$$\{\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}\text{-valued matroid valuations}\}$$

Overview

$$(X, v) \mapsto (\alpha \mapsto T_{\alpha v}\alpha X)$$

$$\{\text{algebraic varieties } X \subseteq K^E\} \longrightarrow \{\text{Frobenius flocks } V : \alpha \mapsto V_\alpha\}$$

$$X \mapsto M(X) \qquad\qquad V \mapsto (\alpha \mapsto M(V_\alpha))$$

$$M \mapsto \bigcup_\alpha \{\text{bases of } M_\alpha\}$$

$$\{\text{Matroids on } E\} \longleftarrow \{\text{Matroid flocks } M : \alpha \mapsto M_\alpha\}$$

Murota–*thanks to Yu!*

$$\{\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}\text{-valued matroid valuations}\}$$

Overview


$(X, v) \mapsto (\alpha \mapsto T_{\alpha v}\alpha X)$
{algebraic varieties $X \subseteq K^E$}
{Frobenius flocks $V : \alpha \mapsto V_\alpha$}
$X \mapsto M(X)$
$V \mapsto (\alpha \mapsto M(V_\alpha))$
$M \mapsto \bigcup_\alpha${bases of M_α}
{Matroids on E}
{Matroid flocks $M : \alpha \mapsto M_\alpha$}
Murota–*thanks to Yu!*
$v \mapsto M^v$
{$\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$-valued matroid valuations}

Overview



So to a d-dimensional algebraic variety $X \subseteq K^E$ in char p we associate the *Lindstrom valuation* v^X : {d-subsets of E} $\to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$.
Cartwright found a direct construction of v^X.

Cell decomposition

Proposition
ν a $\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$-valued valuation and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^E$. Then $M_\alpha^\nu \supseteq M_\beta^\nu$ iff $\forall i \neq j \, \forall B \in M_\beta^\nu : \alpha_i - \alpha_j \geq \nu(B) - \nu(B - i + j)$.

This gives a finite polyhedral complex with *alcoved polyhedra*, in the relative interior of which M_α^ν is constant.

Proposition
ν a $\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$-valued valuation and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^E$. Then $M^\nu_\alpha \supseteq M^\nu_\beta$ iff $\forall i \neq j \, \forall B \in M^\nu_\beta : \alpha_i - \alpha_j \geq \nu(B) - \nu(B - i + j)$.

This gives a finite polyhedral complex with *alcoved polyhedra*, in the relative interior of which M^ν_α is constant.

Consequences:
• A vector space flock can be specified by a finite amount of data.
• Conditions (*) on v are satisfied by general v: if αv satisfies it for αX, and if $M^{\nu_X}_\alpha = M^{\nu_X}_{\alpha + e_J}$, then $(e_J + \alpha)v$ satisfies it at $(\alpha + e_J)X$.

Definition (Dress-Wenzel)
A matroid M is *rigid* if every valuation ν with $M^\nu = M$ is of the form $M \to \mathbb{R},\ B \mapsto \alpha \cdot e_B$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^E$.

Definition (Dress-Wenzel)
A matroid M is *rigid* if every valuation ν with $M^\nu = M$ is of the form $M \to \mathbb{R},\ B \mapsto \alpha \cdot e_B$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^E$.

Theorem
A rigid matroid is algebraically representable over an algebraically closed field K of positive characteristic if and only if it is linearly representable over K.

Definition (Dress-Wenzel)
A matroid M is *rigid* if every valuation ν with $M^\nu = M$ is of the form $M \to \mathbb{R},\ B \mapsto \alpha \cdot e_B$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^E$.

Theorem
A rigid matroid is algebraically representable over an algebraically closed field K of positive characteristic if and only if it is linearly representable over K.

Proof
If X is an algebraic representation, then the Lindström valuation $\nu^X : M(X) \to \mathbb{Z}$ sends $B \mapsto \alpha \cdot e_B$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^E$. Then $M^\nu_\alpha = M^\nu$, and the cell where this happens also contains an integral α. Now $M(X) = M(T_{\alpha\nu}\alpha X)$ for $v \in X$ general. □

(Non-)examples

Using this theorem, we can re-prove several existing results, such as: the projective plane over $\mathbb{F}_p$ is algebraically representable only over fields of characteristic p (Lindström).

To extend the applicability of this method, we are trying to relax the condition that the matroid be rigid.

(Non-)examples

Using this theorem, we can re-prove several existing results, such as: the projective plane over $\mathbb{F}_p$ is algebraically representable only over fields of characteristic p (Lindström).

To extend the applicability of this method, we are trying to relax the condition that the matroid be rigid.

However, using Frobenius flocks alone one cannot reprove all non-algebraicity results:

Theorem-in-progress
If M has a flock representation over (K, σ), and H is a circuit hyperplane in M, then the matroid obtained by turning H into a basis again has a flock representation over (K, σ).

Vamos

The missing diagonal plane is independent:



Vamos has a flock realisation; but it is non-algebraic.

The missing diagonal plane is independent:



Vamos has a flock realisation; but it is non-algebraic.

Questions/projects

- Compute the Lindström valuation from a prime ideal? (Bollen)
- Bounds on the Lindström locus in the Dressian? (No idea.)
- …

The missing diagonal plane is independent:



Vamos has a flock realisation; but it is non-algebraic.

Questions/projects

- Compute the Lindström valuation from a prime ideal? (Bollen)
- Bounds on the Lindström locus in the Dressian? (No idea.)
- …

Thanks!